

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

FRANK REYNOLDS, III

PETITIONER,

CIVIL CASE NO. 00-40094

CRIM CASE NO. 81-50046

v.

PAUL D. BORMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

**ORDER STRIKING DEFENDANT'S 13 PAGE REPLY ON MOTION  
FOR RETURN OF FORFEITED FUNDS, AND DEFENDANT'S  
14 PAGE REPLY ON MOTION FOR RETURN OF FINE**

Local Rule 7.1(c)(3)(g) limits a Reply Brief to 5 pages. Petitioner's 13 page Forfeiture Reply Brief violates that Rule, as does Petitioner's 14 page Fine Reply Brief.

In addition, although both of Plaintiff's Motions violate Rule 7.1(c)(1) because they do not contain a brief, the Court will overlook that and deal with them as a Motion and Brief insofar as they cite and discuss cases.

SO ORDERED.

S/Paul D. Borman

PAUL D. BORMAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: November 16, 2009

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Copies of this Order were served on the attorneys of record by electronic means or U.S. Mail on November 16, 2009.

S/Denise Goodine

Case Manager